## 7TH DISTRICT

SENATE BOX 203007 THE STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA 17120-3007 717-787-7112 FAX 717-772-0579

CENTER FOR HUMAN ADVANCEMENT 4601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19139 215-471-0490 FAX 215-860-3434

vhughes@dem.pasen.gov



## STANDING COMMITTEES

COMMUNICATIONS & HIGH TECHNOLOGY, CHAIRMAN MILITARY & VETERANS AFFAIRS, CHAIRMAN COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION PUBLIC HEALTH & WELFARE STATE GOVERNMENT

## APPOINTMENTS

COMMUNITY SERVICE ADVISORY BOARD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE JOINT STATE
GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
PENNSYLVANIA MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY
PENNSYLVANIA HIGHER EDUCATION
ASSISTANCE AGENCY BOARD
HUMAN RESOURCE INVESTMENT
COUNCIL

## September 28, 1999

Hon. John R. McGinley, Jr., Chair Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market St., 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Re: Regulation #14-446

Department of Public Welfare
Omnibus Amendments - TANF/GA/MA Programs

Final-Omitted

Original: 2062

McGinley Copies:

McGinley Bush

> Coccodrilli Harbison Sandusky Markham

Nanorta Wilmarth Wyatte INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

Dear Mr. McGinley:

I submit the following comments in my capacity as Minority Chair of the Senate Public Health & Welfare Committee. This final-omitted regulation violates the statutory authority granted to the Department of Public Welfare (DPW) in Acts 1995-20 and 1996-35 to promulgate final-omitted regulations and fail to conform to the intention of the General Assembly in the enactment of those two acts.

Specifically, the promulgation of this regulation as a final-omitted regulation is in direct conflict with language found in both Acts 1995-20 and 1996-35. In Act 1995-20, the General Assembly included the following as §12:

Section 12. It is the intent of the General Assembly that the Department of Public Welfare promulgate final-form regulations which omit notice of proposed rulemaking for the purpose of revising regulations implementing sections 443.1, 443.3 and 443.4 of the act. These regulations shall be submitted before September 1, 1995. This section shall expire September 1, 1995.

It is important to note that sections 443.1, 443.3 and 443.4 of the act, i.e. the Public Welfare Code, were <u>not</u> amended by Act 1995-20. Nonetheless, the General Assembly granted what it obviously believed was an exception to the general rule that DPW must promulgate

regulations as proposed rulemakings, not final-omitted regulations. DPW, in this regulation, has decided to grant unto itself another exception and "promulgate final-form regulations which omit notice of proposed rulemaking for the purpose of revising regulations implementing [other] sections" of Act 1995-20.

Similarly, §21 of Act 1996-35 reads:

Section 21. The Department of Public Welfare shall promulgate final-form regulations which omit notice of proposed rulemaking for the purpose of implementing sections 405.1, 405.3, 408, 432.3, 432.6 and 432.7 of the act. These regulations shall be submitted to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication no later than December 18, 1996. This section shall expire December 19, 1996.

The legislative intent here is even clearer and more focused than in Act 1995-20. Here, the General Assembly has enumerated certain sections of Act 1996-35 itself. It has granted exceptions to DPW to promulgate final-form regulations which omit notice of proposed rulemaking for those listed sections. The regulation under review does not implement any of the specifically enumerated sections. It is clear that the General Assembly expected DPW to implement all of the other sections of Act 1996-35 by proposed rulemakings. DPW has exceeded its statutory authority by promulgating final-omitted regulations implementing sections other than sections 405.1, 405.3, 408, 432.3, 432.6 and 432.7.

I also object to DPW's decision, in §§177.21(a)(11) and 178.165 of this final-omitted regulation, to limit the availability of education savings accounts to General Assistance (GA) consumers only. Act 1994-49 does not limit its applicability to GA only. As such, DPW has promulgated a regulation which violates the statutory authority granted to the Department of Public Welfare (DPW) in Act 1994-49 and fails to conform to the intention of the General Assembly.

For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this regulation be disapproved by the Independent Regulatory Review Commission. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Vincent Hughes Minority Chair

Public Health & Welfare Committee

cc: Secretary Houstoun

Sen. Mowery

VH/ns